PISA TESTING IN NORWAY: HIDDEN IMPACTS ON TEACHING QUALITY REVEALED

By Xudayberdieva Muhayyo Karshibaevna
Ergasheva Malohat Tursunovna

PISA tests changed how Norway handles school after they joined up. Once their scores showed up worse than hoped, people got rattled - calling it a "PISA shock" - which fired up heated talk across the nation. The surprise outcome? It didn't sit well - and the right-wing Education Minister grabbed hold of those numbers fast, using them to push big changes in teaching methods.

In 2026, PISA tests were added straight into Norway's school check system, seen by some as a big shift in how they handle education. Even though Norway usually acted slow on reforms, avoiding test-based pressure or private-style schooling, lately it's leaned more toward using standard exams. On top of that, PISA isn't only used to measure performance anymore - it now backs up claims about problems in schools when leaders make decisions.

In this piece, you'll see how PISA changed Norway's school system - not just rules, but real classrooms. Instead of stopping at policies, we look closer: what does PISA testing really involve? Alongside that, there's a peek into global test rankings - how do countries stack up? Yet doubts exist, so critiques of these exams get attention too. Above all, unseen shifts in teaching habits come to light. Since PISA arrived, teachers' freedom and core beliefs about learning have quietly shifted across Norwegian schools.

1. The Design and Global Reach of PISA International Testing

What is PISA testing and how is it structured?

The Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) represents a major global initiative owned by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) [1]. Unlike traditional assessments that focus on content

memorization, PISA evaluates students' ability to apply knowledge to real-world scenarios [2]. The test specifically targets 15-year-old students because in most OECD countries, students at this age approach the end of compulsory schooling [3].

PISA employs a rigorous two-stage sampling method. Initially, schools are selected systematically with probabilities proportional to their size. Subsequently, students within those schools are sampled, typically 42 students per school for computer-based assessments [4]. The assessment itself lasts approximately two hours and covers three core domains [5]:

- Reading literacy: The ability to understand, use, and reflect on written texts;
- Mathematical literacy: The capacity to identify and apply mathematics in various contexts;
- Scientific literacy: The ability to use scientific knowledge and draw evidence-based conclusions.

In each three-year cycle, one domain becomes the "major domain" receiving more thorough assessment, while the others serve as minor domains [6]. Additionally, PISA often includes an innovative domain that varies each cycle, such as creative thinking in 2022 [5] and "Learning in the Digital World" planned for 2025 [7].

International PISA testing: scope and participation

The global reach of PISA has expanded dramatically over two decades. Initially launched with 32 countries in 2000, participation grew to 81 countries and economies by 2022 [6]. Moreover, many non-OECD nations now opt to participate, making PISA an influential international benchmark [1].

Approximately 690,000 students took the assessment in 2022, representing about 29 million 15-year-olds globally [4]. The test has evolved technologically as well, with 77 countries/economies using computer-based assessment in 2022, while only 4 continued with paper-based testing [4]. The assessment was administered in 54

different languages, with English (17 countries) and Spanish (12 countries) being the most common [4].

Policy-Level Impacts in Norway: From Data to Reform

PISA testing results and political discourse

Norway's first participation in PISA testing in 2000 triggered what became widely known as a "PISA shock" [5]. This reaction stemmed from average results that contradicted the longstanding national belief that Norway's education system was highly efficient with students possessing superior knowledge and competency levels [5].

The 2022 PISA results further intensified political concern, with Norway experiencing among participating countries one of the largest drops in mathematics (33 points)[6]. This decline marked a reversal of the positive trend observed in Nordic countries since 2012 [6]. Consequently, the Nordic Council unanimously submitted a proposal to the Nordic Council of Ministers calling for an international committee to suggest improvements [6].

Use of PISA to justify national reforms

Following the initial PISA shock, a national committee was promptly appointed to formulate initiatives for improving Norwegian education [5]. Based on this committee's recommendations, Norway implemented national tests in reading (Norwegian and English) and mathematics in 2004 [5]. Prior to this period, Norway had no national assessments for monitoring its education system [5].

PISA results have been extensively utilized to provide information for national white papers on Norway's education system and to justify major policy reforms [5]. These reforms align closely with OECD guidelines and have resulted in significant changes:

- Integration of international comparative studies into Norway's National Quality Assessment System (NQAS) [5];
- Development of national test frameworks that strongly resemble the PISA framework [5];

- Implementation of the "Realfagstrategien" strategy in 2015 aimed at enhancing learning in mathematics and sciences [5];

Hidden Classroom-Level Effects on Teaching Quality

Shift toward test-oriented instruction

Beyond policy-level changes, PISA testing has gradually reshaped classroom practices across Norway. While PISA advocates argue that the assessment's strength lies in testing students' ability to solve unforeseen problems rather than simply recalling memorized facts [12], this approach has altered teaching methodology. First, classroom instruction has increasingly focused on preparing students for standardized assessment situations, with teachers feeling pressure to align their teaching methods with PISA-style problem-solving.

Critics maintain that PISA tests might disadvantage students by presenting them with unfamiliar problem contexts [12]. In response, many Norwegian teachers have shifted toward teaching strategies that emphasize test-taking skills alongside traditional subject mastery. This trend represents a significant departure from Norway's historically student-centered pedagogical approach.

Reduced curricular autonomy for teachers

The introduction of PISA has coincided with subtle but profound changes in teacher autonomy. Throughout Norway's educational system, traditional interpretations of teacher autonomy—where educators enjoyed substantial classroom freedom with limited evaluation of outcomes—have given way to increased accountability measures [1].

Teacher autonomy in Norway has become increasingly contested territory. The concept itself is multifaceted and value-laden, used differently by various stakeholders [1]. Although educational authorities describe curricular freedom as "pedagogical freedom," teachers experience growing tensions between:

- Individual freedom over daily teaching activities;
- Collective responsibility for curriculum implementation;
- External control mechanisms targeting student outcomes.

A telling example involves assessment criteria creation. Whereas national authorities view this as part of teachers' professional responsibility, many Norwegian teachers do not consider it within their area of self-governance—revealing a fundamental disconnect [1].

Increased focus on measurable outcomes

PISA has accelerated Norway's transition toward outcome-based education. Educational policy now emphasizes documenting improvements in student performance and overall teaching quality [1]. Interestingly, Norway demonstrates one of the largest variations within schools (97.1%) and one of the smallest variations between schools in mathematics performance (10.5%)[13]. This suggests that while Norwegian schools maintain relative equality, substantial performance differences exist among students within the same classrooms.

The emphasis on measurable results has apparently affected student engagement. Norway reports one of the lowest percentages of students who "love learning new things in school" (42%) among PISA participants [13]. Additionally, Norwegian schools demonstrate less intense selectivity than other OECD countries, yet this doesn't necessarily translate to improved learning experiences [13].

Teacher Reflexivity and Professional Identity Under Pressure

Autonomous vs meta-reflexive responses to PISA

Norwegian educators face mounting pressure from international PISA testing, triggering distinct reflexive responses. According to Archer's theory of reflexivity, teachers employ internal conversations to navigate educational reforms. A study of Norwegian lower secondary schools revealed autonomous reflexivity as the predominant mode among teachers dealing with PISA demands, followed by meta-reflexivity [14]. This pattern mirrors findings among school leaders, who similarly exhibit autonomous reflexivity when administering tests and discussing implementation strategies [7].

Autonomous reflexive teachers typically create "simulated test situations" to help students prepare for assessments [15]. Nevertheless, meta-reflexive educators simultaneously question the legitimacy of standardized testing while complying with administrative requirements. This dual stance allows teachers to fulfill institutional obligations yet maintain critical distance from PISA's underlying values [14].

Teacher stress levels continue rising, with "a lot" in their work - 8 percentage points higher than in 2018 and exceeding the OECD average 24% of Norwegian teachers now experiencing stress[4]. Consequently, overall job satisfaction has declined, with younger teachers reporting especially high stress levels compared to their older colleagues [4].

Long-Term Implications for Educational Values and Equity

The fundamental contradiction in Norway's post-PISA educational landscape lies in the collision of two competing philosophies. Norway's education system historically placed equity at its core, yet current reforms emphasize measurable outcomes that potentially undermine this foundation. Essentially, as Norwegian schools adopt performance metrics, teachers find themselves torn between humanistic ideals and accountability demands.

Regarding equity, Norway shows mixed outcomes despite PISA's equity commitment. in reading—smaller than the OECD average gap (89 points) Socioeconomically advantaged students outperform disadvantaged peers by 73 points[17]. Yet only 4% of disadvantaged students achieve top-performer status [17]. Though socioeconomic status explains less variation in mathematics performance (8%) than the OECD average (14%) [17], concerning disparities persist for immigrant students, who score 52 points below non-immigrants[17].

Looking forward, Norway faces critical choices about data-driven teaching. The Norwegian government acknowledges that "datasets are used to develop AI, and when non-representative or containing undesired associations, resulting models may act unfairly" [18]. Therefore, as one study concluded, "standardization

alone does not guarantee equal and equitable practices" [19]. Clearly, Norway must maintain what an OECD report called "a cautious approach to further reform" to improve educational outcomes without damaging a "successful and highly equitable system".

Comparative Insights: PISA Testing in Norway and Uzbekistan

Even though Norway's had years of tinkering with PISA - tweaking schools bit by bit - Uzbekistan's only now diving in, straight into world education comparisons. Back in 2022, it joined up, then quickly launched its debut run after gradually adjusting rules piece by piece across multiple years [21].

Uzbek students scored low on their first PISA exam - reading, math, so science - all below average OECD marks. In 2022, their math came in at only 358, way below Norway's 472, placing them close to the lowest fourth of countries [22]. Yet leaders stayed calm; rather than making quick changes, they took the data to uncover serious issues in schools - not what happened in Norway around 2000, where bad results pushed rapid reforms because people demanded action.

Instead of copying Norway's 2004 shift toward PISA, Uzbekistan launched AAKS in 2019 - meant to team up with the OECD while using international testing standards [23]. Not focused on competition, their goal is better schools, improved instruction, or revised curricula - aligned with PISA's focus on practical skills.

While one chats with the OECD on rules, they push fair access alongside solid teaching - hitting the mark set by UN goal 4 for universal learning. The other also teams up with the OECD, blending equal chances with high-level training, close to what target 4 seeks worldwide. Both jump into OECD talks, linking fairness with measurable outcomes, fitting neatly under the UN's fourth aim for open schooling.

Conclusion

The comparative analysis of Norway and Uzbekistan's engagement with PISA reveals how global assessment regimes can generate vastly different national trajectories depending on historical, institutional, and cultural contexts. Norway's

two decades of participation have institutionalized test-based accountability, reshaped teacher autonomy, and embedded performance metrics deep within the fabric of educational governance. While these mechanisms have enhanced transparency and comparability, they have also fostered reform fatigue, narrowed pedagogical freedom, and heightened pressure within schools.

Taken together, these cases underscore a central paradox of global education governance: the same international assessment can produce both homogenization and differentiation. PISA's influence is not deterministic but mediated by local priorities, policy histories, and socio-economic realities. For mature systems like Norway, the challenge lies in rediscovering professional trust and pedagogical depth amid data-driven cultures. For emerging systems like Uzbekistan, the challenge is to harness PISA's reform potential without succumbing to its standardizing logic.

References

[1] - https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/nstep.v1.28520

[2] - https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00313831.2025.2550277

[3] - https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341578348_Teachers_performative_techniques_and_professional_values_how_performativity_becomes_humanistic_through_interplay_mechanisms

[4] - https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/results-from-talis-2024-country-notes_e127f9e2-en/norway_3d989dd3-en.html

[5] - https://scispace.com/pdf/policy-impact-of-pisa-on-mathematics-education-the-case-of-x9hvq36rkt.pdf

[6] - https://www.norden.org/en/news/concern-over-pisa-results-and-calls-nordic-action

[7] - https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14767430.2023.2289776

[8] - https://www.abdn.ac.uk/uploads/education/documents/journals_documents/Volume_28_Issue_2_Crossing_Boundaries/2_EITN_2021_02_02_THOMAS.pdf

[9] - https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003163527-11/impact-pisa-education-norway-terje-andr%C3%A9-bringeland

[10] - https://oecdedutoday.com/improving-school-quality-norway/

[11] - https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2020/12/education-policy-outlook-in-norway_9bd1b192/8a042924-en.pdf

[12] - https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/support-materials/2023/12/pisa-2022-results-volume-i_76772a36/PISA%202022%20Insights%20and%20Interpretations.pdf

[13] - https://gpseducation.oecd.org/CountryProfile?primaryCountry=NOR&treshold=10&topic=PI

[14] - https://munin.uit.no/bitstream/handle/10037/32624/article.pdf?sequence=2&isAllowed=y

[15] - https://www.bohrium.com/paper-details/pisa-and-teachers-reflexivities-a-mixed-methods-case-study/9495165169555521065-15450

[16] - https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00313831.2024.2308883

[17] - https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/about/programs/edu/pisa/publications/national-reports/pisa-2018/featured-country-specific-overviews/PISA2018_CN_NOR.pdf

[18] - https://www.ed.gov/sites/ed/files/documents/ai-report/ai-report.pdf

[19] - https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0190740925000891

[20] - OECD (2023). *Participation in PISA and educational reform impact in Uzbekistan.*

[21] - OECD (2023). *PISA 2022 results: Uzbekistan education quality overview.*

[22] - Yuldasheva, D. et al. (2022). *Reform of education in Uzbekistan and the role of the OECD.*

[23] - Kholmatova, S. & Kim, J. (2023). *Teacher perceptions of PISA in Uzbekistan: Modernization and challenges.*