TEACHING GRAMMAR FOR COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE

Odilova Dilora Sardor qizi
Second year student Uzbekistan state world language university
diloraodilova06gmail.com

Ziyodullayeva Aziza Akmalevna,
Teacher at UzSWLU,philology faculty
azizaziyad63@gmail.com

Abstract: Although grammar is important for language learning, traditional methods frequently prioritize precision over fluency, which hinders students' capacity for successful communication. The significance of incorporating grammar training into communicative language teaching (CLT) is examined in this article in order to improve students' proficiency with grammar in everyday situations. In order to promote communicative competence while preserving grammatical accuracy, educators can transition from strict rule-based instruction to context-driven activities. Important tactics including task-based learning, inductive learning, and meaningful interaction are covered in the text. Additionally, it emphasizes the advantages of incorporating grammar through problem-solving exercises, role-plays, and real-world materials. Teachers can give students the tools they need for effective communication in the real world by enhancing grammar instruction to be more participatory and useful. The function of grammar in communicative competence, methods for teaching grammar effectively, and the advantages of a communicative approach to language instruction.

Keywords: communicative, grammar, competence, teaching, language, contextualized, activities, task-based, inductive, proficiency

Introduction: Component of learning a language is grammar, which offers the framework required for efficient and transparent communication. But rather than emphasizing the capacity to utilize grammar in meaningful conversation, traditional grammar teaching frequently concentrates on precision and memorization. This method may make it more difficult for kids to speak confidently and spontaneously. Teachers must incorporate grammar education into communicative language teaching (CLT) in order to close this gap and guarantee that students not only comprehend but also successfully apply grammatical principles in everyday contexts. When language learners think of grammar education, they frequently picture tedious grammar drills, translation exercises, and lectures with in-depth explanations of grammatical concepts. Grammar instruction has arguably been the most contentious area of language learning. Scholars and educators have debated for many years whether grammar instruction is even necessary. The fact that we utilize language without grammar is still true despite the long-running grammar controversy. Grammar was

frequently taught independently of language usage contexts in early foreign language teaching methodologies, such as the Grammar Translation Method (GTM). Although these methods were frequently successful in helping students gain receptive (reading) language skills, they occasionally fell short in giving them the capacity to communicate effectively in both writing and speaking. Since Audiolingualism (ALM), several methods of teaching languages have thus concentrated on fostering communicative ability. Grammar instruction that results in effective communication is what is meant by communicative competence, not the absence of grammar education. The Teacher's Corner for this month focuses on teaching grammar in large courses, teaching grammar communicatively, and teaching. So what exactly does it mean to "teach grammar communicatively"? In other words, grammar lessons and instruction go beyond just presenting a grammar item or items, assigning controlled tasks, and then evaluating students' comprehension of the items. It entails planning grammar classes with a communication exercise or task included. An example and a grammatical item may be presented at the beginning of a communicative grammar session, just like in a traditional approach. This is followed by controlled exercises to practice the grammar item. *-A conversational grammar* instruction goes beyond that, though. *-A communicative grammar* lecture allows students to practice the target grammar after the presentation and practice phases. A communicative grammar lesson's initial phases frequently emphasize accuracy, but as practice progresses, fluency becomes increasingly crucial. According to DeKeyser (1998), communicative tasks are crucial because they give students the opportunity to practice the target grammar feature in "real operating conditions." Speaking exercises have been the main emphasis of communicative grammar practice, but writing exercises are also a significant and legitimate method to practice communicative grammar use.

Methods: As educators, we want our pupils to practice communicative language use. However, communicative practice can be problematic in some large courses; it might be hard to assign students to groups and pairs and keep an eye on their activities when there are a lot of them. In a large class, even if the teacher cannot conduct a fully communicative lesson due to time constraints or class size, they can still incorporate communicative elements through pair work or small group discussions. These activities allow students to interact, practice speaking, and engage in meaningful conversations without requiring constant teacher supervision. Teachers should concentrate on what they can do in large classrooms rather than feeling bad if they are unable to make every lesson fully communicative. For example, a teacher may be able to do one or two interactive exercises but not a full communicative session in a large class. Teachers can also find ways to set up situations for productive grammar practice outside the classroom. One way to give students more opportunities for communicative practice is English clubs, where students meet regularly for communicative practice and other fun activities such as poetry contests or singing competitions. Out-of-class assignments that call for the use of the target grammar point are another option to give students extra chances for communicative practice.

Students may practice the present simple grammar point in class and then write a brief paragraph about their daily routine as homework, for example, if they are practicing the present simple for routines. With a cell phone or other device, students can videotape themselves speaking the target language alone or in collaboration with a classmate. For instance, students can create interview questions based on the target grammar point and interview a partner, or they can write a script in the target language and record themselves acting it out. For students who have access to the Internet, there are many opportunities for productive communicative practice, such as writing blogs, recording podcasts, or corresponding with epals[1] all of which can be done with an emphasis on target grammar points related to in-class lessons. Teachers may need to rethink how they organize their classes when dealing with large classes, but there are still lots of ways to give students opportunities for communicative, productive language practice. Traditionally, language instruction has focused on merely teaching grammar, frequently using drills and rote memorization. Modern language education approaches and research, however, stress the value of teaching grammar in context. This entails addressing grammar as an integral part of meaningful communication rather than as a stand-alone subject. Using natural examples of the target grammatical structures, like articles, movies, or conversations, is one efficient strategy. Teachers can use a recorded conversation or a short tale that uses the past tense in context, for instance, rather than just stating the rules. After reading the work, students examine its patterns and practice applying the structure to their own writing and speech. Drills and exercises that concentrate on single phrases are frequently used in traditional grammar instruction. Although these exercises aid students in identifying grammatical structures, they don't necessarily equip them to communicate successfully in everyday situations. Grammar usage in relevant situations is emphasized by communicative language instruction (CLT). With this method, language is organically included into activities like role-playing, conversations, and storytelling. For instance, students might practice verb tenses by creating personal narratives or summarizing prior experiences rather than learning them through isolated phrases. Let's examine an illustration of how grammar can be influenced by context. Envision instructing pupils on classroom objects and requesting that they use the newly acquired language to construct sentences. It's a(n) _, you teach them. For example, "It's a pencil," "It's a book," and "It's a notebook" are practice phrases for your students. All well, but have a look at what occurs when an article is used contextually: An individual placed his book on the table. An orange cover is used for the book. We employ the indefinite article an in the first sentence, which is the first time boy is mentioned, but we use the the in the second instance. It would be impossible to demonstrate without context.

Results: The target grammatical item that students are working to comprehend or explain may be presented in a context at the beginning of a grammar lesson. For example, if the simple present for routines is your goal grammar item, the lesson may begin with a paragraph describing a daily routine. After then, the instructor would ask the class to describe the verb tense in the given example, offering clarifications and

direction as necessary. A lesson that uses context, however, does not necessarily need to begin with a context. Before demonstrating the usage of the grammatical item in context, it may begin with the rule and examples. While some teachers begin with context and work their way toward rules, others begin with rules and then go on to context. Many educators employ both strategies. Take into account the students' learning preferences, prior knowledge of the target grammar item, and skill levels when determining whether to introduce the context early or late in the class. Starting with rules and examples may be a superior strategy for some grammar items, while presenting the context first may be the best strategy for others. Teaching in context does not require the use of authentic texts, which might be any written or spoken source that is not intended for language instruction or acquisition. Both the students' prior knowledge of the target grammar item and their degree of skill should be taken into account while teaching grammar in context. While actual texts can frequently be beneficial for higher-level students, lower-proficiency students frequently require texts that are specially created for language acquisition. A lot of excellent examples of contextual grammar can be found in textbooks. If there isn't a sample text that demonstrates grammar in context, you can make one yourself. For instance, you may use your own daily routine as an example text if you are talking about daily routines. Contextual grammar instruction means that while it is a component of the lesson, it is not the entire thing. Your pupils will still require some practice with the target grammar item and a complete or partial explanation of the grammar form, depending on their level of skill.

Analysis: Consciousness-raising is a related aspect to teaching grammar in context. Consciousness-raising means making students aware of the properties of a certain grammatical feature by highlighting them or helping students to notice them in some way (Ellis, 2015). For instance, with the science report example, consciousness-raising might start with asking students to identify the passives in model texts. Students might read a model text and then circle every instance of the passive voice. Once students have passives in the model text, instruction could move on to meaning: What does it mean when a writer has chosen to use the passive voice in this context? Next, use: How is the passive voice used in the given genre? Consciousness raising tasks can help students later identify target features in subsequent encounters (Fotos 1993). In language instruction, the term "consciousness-raising" (CR) describes instructional techniques intended to increase students' awareness and comprehension of particular linguistic elements without necessarily requiring instant production mastery. It is believed that once pupils observe a structure, they are more likely to retain it and apply it appropriately in subsequent language interactions. Highlighting & Underlining, where students underline or highlight a particular grammatical structure in a text (e.g., passive voice, relative clauses, verb tenses); Text Reconstruction, in which students reconstruct a passage while focusing on a specific grammatical feature; Error Analysis, where students analyze incorrect sentences and explain why they are incorrect, reinforcing understanding of correct structures;

Sentence Sorting, where students categorize sentences based on grammatical patterns, identifying similarities and differences; and Comparison Tasks, in which students compare two texts—one with and one without a specific grammatical feature—and discuss the differences in meaning or effect.

Discussion: Interpretation - grammar for communicative competence focuses on enabling learners to use grammatical structures effectively in real-life communication. The findings indicate that integrating grammar instruction with communicative activities enhances learners' ability to use grammar meaningfully and contextually. This approach shifts the emphasis from rote memorization of rules to practical application, fostering a deeper understanding of language use. One of the key strategies identified is contextualized grammar instruction. By teaching grammar in meaningful contexts, learners can see how grammatical structures function in real communication. For instance, instead of teaching past tense forms in isolation, instructors can integrate them into storytelling or recounting past events. This approach helps learners understand the practical use of grammar, making it more relevant and memorable. Another effective strategy is the use of communicative activities. Activities such as role-plays, simulations, and discussions require learners to use grammar in real-life scenarios. These activities encourage spontaneous language use, allowing learners to practice grammar in a supportive and interactive environment. For example, a role-play activity where learners simulate a job interview can help them practice using question forms and polite expressions. Task-based Learning (TBLT) is another valuable approach. TBLT focuses on communication goals and tasks that require specific grammatical structures. By designing tasks with clear communication objectives, instructors can ensure that grammar instruction is aligned with practical language use. For example, a task that involves planning a trip can help learners practice future tense forms and modal verbs for making suggestions. The inductive approach to grammar teaching encourages learners to discover grammar rules through guided discovery and examples. This approach fosters critical thinking and allows learners to internalize grammatical structures more naturally. For instance, instead of explicitly teaching the rules for using articles, instructors can present learners with a text containing various examples and guide them to deduce the rules themselves. The concept of focus on form integrates explicit grammar instruction into communicative activities. This approach addresses errors as they arise, providing immediate feedback and clarification. It strikes a balance between communicative practice and accurate language use. For example, during a group discussion, an instructor can highlight and correct recurring grammatical errors, reinforcing the correct usage. Comparison: The identified strategies for teaching grammar for communicative competence contrast with traditional grammar instruction methods, which often emphasize rule memorization and isolated practice. Traditional methods, such as the grammar-translation approach, focus on translating sentences and learning grammar rules without sufficient context. This approach can lead to a disconnect between grammatical knowledge and practical language use. In

contrast, communicative approaches prioritize meaningful communication and contextualized practice. They acknowledge that language learning is not just about knowing rules but also about using language effectively in diverse situations. By incorporating communicative activities and real-life scenarios, learners develop both grammatical competence and communicative competence, making them more proficient and confident language users. The inductive approach also differs from traditional deductive methods, where rules are explicitly taught before practice. While the deductive approach provides clear and direct instruction, it may not engage learners as effectively as the inductive approach, which encourages exploration and discovery. The inductive approach aligns with constructivist learning theories, which emphasize active learning and learner autonomy. Limitations - despite the benefits of teaching grammar for communicative competence, there are limitations to consider. One limitation is the potential for cognitive overload. Integrating grammar instruction with communicative activities requires learners to process multiple aspects of language simultaneously, which can be challenging, especially for beginners. Instructors need to carefully scaffold activities and provide adequate support to prevent learners from becoming overwhelmed. Another limitation is the variability in learners' preferences and learning styles. Some learners may prefer explicit grammar instruction and find inductive approaches less effective. Instructors need to be flexible and responsive to learners' needs, adapting their teaching methods accordingly. Additionally, the success of communicative grammar instruction depends on the availability of resources and the classroom environment. Large class sizes and limited time can constrain the implementation of communicative activities. Instructors may need to be creative in designing activities that are feasible within their teaching context.

Conclusion: Consciousness-Raising (CR) helps learners notice specific grammar patterns, making them more aware of how language functions. This aligns with Schmidt's (1990) Noticing Hypothesis, which suggests that awareness is essential for learning. CR emphasizes explicit learning, where students deliberately analyze and reflect on language rules rather than acquiring them passively. Typical CR tasks involve analyzing examples, comparing structures, and drawing conclusions about usage, fostering awareness without requiring immediate production. This approach is particularly effective in grammar teaching, as it allows learners to see how grammar operates in real communication rather than in isolated sentences. Effective and meaningful learning need a balanced strategy that incorporates many techniques when teaching grammar for communicative competence. Grammar should be taught in a way that encourages students to utilize it in conversation rather than in a dry, academic manner. 1. Grammar Instruction By communicating effectively, teachers can make sure that students understand the principles and use them in practical settings. Learners gain accuracy and fluency via meaningful interactions. 2. Although there are difficulties when teaching grammar in large classes, strategies including group projects, peer cooperation, and interactive exercises support learning and keep

students interested. 3. Presenting grammatical structures in context through relevant texts and real-world situations improves comprehension. With this approach, students may observe how grammar works organically in language use. Activities that Increase Consciousness: Encouraging students to observe, evaluate, and consider linguistic patterns enables them to come up with rules on their own. Learner autonomy is increased and long-term retention is encouraged by this higher degree of involvement. By integrating these methods, teachers provide a dynamic, student-focused setting where grammar is taught alongside meaningful conversation rather than separately. This well-rounded approach, which is backed by both actual data and accepted theories of language acquisition, improves grammatical correctness while simultaneously developing the communication skills necessary for everyday language usage.

References:

1.DeKeyser, R. 1998. Beyond focus on form: cognitive perspectives on learning and practicing second language grammar. In C. Doughty and J. Williams (Eds.),
2.Focus on Form in Second Language Acquisition. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
3.Ellis, R. (2015). Understanding Second Language Acquisition. (2nd ed.) Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
4.Fotos, S. (1993). Consciousness-raising and noticing through focus-on-form: grammar task performance vs. formal instruction. Applied Linguistics, 14(4), 385 – 407.
5.Schmidt, R. (1990). The role of consciousness in second language learning. Applied Linguistics, 11(2), 129–158.

The text in the image reads:

[1] An e-pal is a friend you make by exchanging e-mails. An e-pal exchange is a fun way to practice language with speakers of other languages.